



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,242	04/24	4/2001	Soren Vindriis	CU-2508RJS	3307
26530	7590	10/23/2002			
LADAS & I			EXAMINER		
224 SOUTH CHICAGO, I		AVENUE, SUITI	ARNOLD III, TROY G		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-	•			3728	
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/830,242	VINDRIIS, SOREN
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Troy Arnold	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the c ver sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	September 2002 .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ The	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xamıner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen —		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pressured in the second property is made of a claim for domes 	• •	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/830,242

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In lines 9-10, the phrase "where the fabric is joined with the foil by enclosure in the foil" is unclear and misleading, as the fabric does not appear to be actually enclosed or surrounded by the foil layer. Claim 3 is simply not understood.

A term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term. See *In re Hill*, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947). The term "foil" in claim 1 is used by the claim to mean "layer," while the generally accepted meaning is "a thin sheet of metal or metallic material."

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/830,242 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Hutcheson. Regarding claim 1, Hutcheson teaches an insole 10 comprising a top plastic layer 12 and a bottom plastic layer 14 and cavities 26+ formed between the two layers, with a liquid 42 inside, the layers being impermeable and joined along the edges, and a fabric 56,58 extending along the layers, extending outside the layers, and wherein the fabric is joined to the layers. See column 5, beginning in line 18. (The last four lines of claim 1 are given little patentable weight, as they describe a *process for making* the instant invention, rather than the structure of the invention itself.) Regarding claim 2, one or more of the materials 56,58 specified for the outer covering of the invention of Hutcheson will have a coefficient of friction higher than that of the bottom plastic layer. Regarding claim 3, Hutcheson teaches the top plastic layer covered with fabric such as cotton or synthetic material. Inasmuch as the claim is understood, it would appear that a variety of coefficients of friction will be covered by the material specified by Hutcheson in column 5, lines 23-28, thus satisfying the limitations of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,242

Art Unit: 3728

٠ ، ، ،

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutcheson. Hutcheson teaches all the limitations of claim 4 except the fabric having a higher tensile strength than the top plastic layer. In view of the various materials suggested by Hutcheson in column 5, lines 43-48, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the tensile strength of the fabric material higher than that of the plastic layer for the purpose of ensuring that the fabric adequately protected the plastic layer against rupture. This is clearly well within the capability of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Hutcheson in view of Filipitsch et al. Hutcheson teaches all the limitations of claim 5

except the top fabric layer being impregnated with fungicide. Filipitsch teaches an insole impregnated with a fungicide, as in claim 13. It would have been obvious in view of Filipitsch to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate fungicide into the top material layer of Hutcheson for the purpose of improving the sanitary environment of the foot. See also Hutcheson, column 5, lines 33-37 where he discusses additive substances.

It is noted that several other of the references cited but not relied upon teach most of the limitations claimed in the claims, in similar structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Arnold whose telephone number is 703-305-0621.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday, 9:30-6pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,242

Art Unit: 3728

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-0302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Troy Arnold Examiner Art Unit 3728

TGA October 17, 2002

> Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examinar Croup 2700